

12-35082

United States Court of Appeals  
for the Ninth Circuit.  
David Stebbins Appellant  
vs. Case No. 12-35082  
Microsoft, Inc. Appellee  
MOTION FOR IFP APPLICATION

Comes now, pro se Plaintiff David Stebbins,  
who hereby submits the following request for an  
in forma pauperis application.

If I were not incarcerated, I could just  
print one off the Internet, no fuss no muss. However,  
because I am ~~a~~ incarcerated, I require a form  
be provided for me.

Maybe I don't need one. Here in Arkansas,  
when you get IFP status in the trial court, you  
automatically get IFP for all appeals in that  
same case, excluding the Federal Supreme Court for  
obvious reasons. Maybe that is what is happening  
here.

But I have not been told that, and until I  
receive unambiguous confirmation of what is going  
on, I would like an IFP application. Better safe  
than sorry.

David Stebbins  
5800 Law Dr.  
Harrison, AR 72601  
Jan. 30, 2012

\*Sorry, I meant "Appellant"

RECEIVED  
MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

FEB 06 2012

FILED \_\_\_\_\_  
DOCKETED \_\_\_\_\_  
DATE \_\_\_\_\_  
INITIAL \_\_\_\_\_

United States Court of Appeals  
for the Ninth Circuit  
David Stebbins Appellant  
vs Case No. 12-35083  
Microsoft, Inc. Appellee

Certificate of Service

I, pro se Appellant David Stebbins, hereby certify under penalty of perjury that a true and correct copy of my informal opening brief was served on Defense Counsel by allowing them to view the ECF ~~Notice~~ Notice of Docket Activity.

The motion for the IFP application was served on Appellees the same way.

David Stebbins  
5800 Law Dr.  
Harrison, AR 72601

David Stebbins